

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/563,281 | IGAKI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | BARBARA SUMMONS | 2817 | |

All Participants:

(1) BARBARA SUMMONS.

(2) Mr. Jeffrey J. Howell (Reg. No. 46,402).

Date of Interview: 19 November 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 4 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Barbara Summons/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2817

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: afternoon

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On November 18 it was discussed that claims 4 and 11 were made identical by the amendment received 11/12/08, and a grammatical error in claim 1 was also noted. In a return call on November 19 it was agreed that the Examiner, by the accompanying examiner's amendment, would correct the grammatical error and change the dependency of claim 11 to depend from claim 6.